

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/770,644	Applicant(s) PAZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Kristie Shingles	Art Unit 2141	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** ALLOWED

 (1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Wes Rosander, Atty. 51,030.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 June 2006
**Time:** 10:20am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

31

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant to receive authorization to amend the claim language to prevent any non-statutory 35 USC 101 issues. Specifically, Examiner moved "one or more computer readable media having stored thereon" into the preamble for clarity that the software program is stored on computer readable media.